P.R. No. 376/06
Presented by Shri D.P. Ms. Kajal
dated 26/1/06

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 869 / 2006

Petitioner : Homendra Kumar
S/o Shri Late Khuman Singh
Sahara, *Aged about 22 years*,
R/o Village Latabod Tehsil –
Balod, Dist : Durg-(C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary Education Department, DKS Bhawan Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)
2. The Block Education Officer Balod, Dist : Durg-(C.G.)
3. Director Public Education, Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)
4. District Education Officer, Durg, Dist : Durg-(C.G.)
5. State of Madhya Pradesh Through the Secretary Education Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Dist : Bhopal-(M.P.)
6. Union of India, Through the Chief Secretary Department of Personal & Training & Public Grievances, Shastri Marg, New Delhi

R/C Dhade Advocate 20.1.06 R.S. Baghel for VOI

Received Singh Rajput Advocate Standing Counsel

RECEIVED-COPY 20/1/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 369/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24-1-2006 Shri Deepak Jain, counsel for the petitioner. Shri S.P. Kale, Govt. Advocate for the State of Chhattisgarh. Miss Sharmila Singhai, Standing counsel for the Union of India. Heard. This case relates to compassionate appointment consequent upon the death of an employee serving in the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner categorically stated that the death of the employee occurred on 22-5-1998 i.e. after 1-11-1997 and not earlier. It is further submitted that in batch of cases bearing W.P. No.1322/2002 involving the same question, orders have been passed by this Court on 20/12/2005. He prays that similar orders may be passed in this case also. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the above fact and states that the State of Chhattisgarh has taken a decision to consider the cases of dependants of those employees who died on or after 1/11/1997 strictly in accordance with the policy prevalent at that time. He however submits that four months time for issuing necessary orders may be given. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the State of Chhattisgarh and the authorities concerned to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in accordance with the policy prevalent at the time of death of the employee concerned. If the petitioner found eligible, appointment orders will be issued as early as possible preferably on or before 31st May, 2006. The petitioner is also directed to render due co-operation to the authorities. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law including filing of the petition before this Court. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.303/06 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Copy to the State counsel, who will be obliged to communicate the order to the respondents for compliance. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	
Gowri		

[पीछे देखिये